## Theoretical Physics 1 Answers to Examination 2001

Warning — these answers have been completely retyped...Please report any typos/errors.

steve@mrao.cam.ac.uk

Q1. Bookwork: Hamilton's principle is  $\delta \int dt \ L(q_i, \dot{q}_i, t) = 0$  and leads (via the calculus of variations) to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} \tag{1}$$

i.e. N 2nd-order equations for the coordinates  $q_i$ .

The kinetic energy of the masses at B, B' is  $2 \times \frac{1}{2} m_1 a^2 (\Omega^2 \sin^2 \theta + \dot{\theta}^2)$ . The mass at A' has velocity  $2a\dot{\theta}\sin\theta$  so contributes  $2m_2a^2\sin^2\theta$   $\dot{\theta}^2$ . The potential energy is  $V = -ga\cos\theta(2m_1 + 2m_2)$  so the Lagrangian L = T - V is

$$L = m_1 a^2 (\Omega^2 \sin^2 \theta + \dot{\theta}^2) + 2m_2 a^2 \sin^2 \theta \, \dot{\theta}^2 + 2ag \cos \theta (m_1 + m_2) \,. \tag{2}$$

The conjugate momentum is  $p_{\theta} = \partial L/\partial \dot{\theta} = a^2 \dot{\theta} (2m_1 + 4m_2 \sin^2 \theta)$ . The equation of motion is (note a partial cancellation in the  $\dot{\theta}^2$  term)

$$a^{2}(2m_{1}+4m_{2}\sin^{2}\theta)\ddot{\theta}+4a^{2}m_{2}\sin\theta\cos\theta\,\dot{\theta}^{2}=2a\sin\theta\left(m_{1}a\Omega^{2}\cos\theta-g(m_{1}+m_{2})\right).$$
(3)

In equilibrium the LHS is zero so

$$\cos \theta_0 = \frac{g(m_1 + m_2)}{m_1 a \Omega^2} \ . \tag{4}$$

The stable position has to be  $\theta = 0$  unless  $\cos \theta_0 \le 1$ , so the critical condition is  $\Omega^2 = g(m_1 + m_2)/m_1 a$ .

For small oscillations we ignore the  $\dot{\theta}^2$  term and expand the RHS, getting

$$a(m_1 + 2m_2\sin^2\theta_0) \ddot{\theta} \approx \delta\theta \left(m_1a\Omega^2(\cos^2\theta_0 - \sin^2\theta_0) - g(m_1 + m_2)\cos\theta_0\right) , \quad (5)$$

where  $\delta\theta \equiv \theta - \theta_0$ . Substituting  $g(m_1 + m_2)$  from (4) above, the  $\cos^2$  term disappears and we get

$$a(m_1 + 2m_2 \sin^2 \theta_0)\ddot{\theta} \approx -\delta \theta m_1 a \Omega^2 \sin^2 \theta_0 . \tag{6}$$

The angular velocity of small oscillations is thus  $\Omega \sin \theta_0 / \sqrt{1 + 2(m_2/m_1) \sin^2 \theta_0}$ . Give full marks for any reasonable expression.

Q2. Bookwork: the conjugate momenta are  $p_i \equiv \partial L/\partial q_i$ . The Hamiltonian is

$$H \equiv \sum_{i} p_i \dot{q}_i - L , \qquad (7)$$

which is a function of  $(q_i, p_i)$  but not  $\dot{q}_i$ . Hamilton's equations are

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \; ; \quad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \; ,$$
 (8)

i.e. a set of 2N first-order equations for the coordinates and momenta.

The Lagrangian is

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\theta}^2 + r^2\sin^2\theta \,\dot{\phi}^2\right) - V(r) \tag{9}$$

The momenta are

$$p_r = m\dot{r} \; ; \quad p_\theta = mr^2\dot{\theta} \; ; \quad p_\phi = mr^2\sin^2\theta \; \dot{\phi}$$
 (10)

and the Hamiltonian (which must be expressed in terms of  $(q_i, p_i)$ ) is

$$H = \frac{p_r^2}{2m} + \frac{p_\theta^2}{2mr^2} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2mr^2\sin^2\theta} + V(r) . \tag{11}$$

To show that  $p_{\phi}$  is constant note that  $\partial H/\partial \phi = 0$  (but method using Lagrangian symmetry or any other valid approach gets full marks).

From Hamilton's equations we have

$$\dot{p_{\theta}} = \frac{p_{\phi}^2 \cos \theta}{mr^2 \sin^3 \theta} \,, \tag{12}$$

so it isn't constant unless  $\cos \theta = 0$  ( $\theta = \pi/2$ ).

[Remaining bits are a good deal easier to see using the Hamiltonian approach, but any other valid method gets full marks.]

By writing the angular momentum in terms of the momenta,

$$J^{2} = m^{2} r^{4} \left( \dot{\theta}^{2} + \dot{\phi}^{2} \sin^{2} \theta \right) = p_{\theta}^{2} + \frac{p_{\phi}^{2}}{\sin^{2} \theta} , \qquad (13)$$

we see that (using  $\dot{p}_{\phi} = 0$ )

$$2J\dot{J} = 2p_{\theta}\dot{p}_{\theta} - 2\dot{\theta}\frac{p_{\phi}^2\cos\theta}{\sin^3\theta} \tag{14}$$

Now use  $\dot{\theta} = \partial H/\partial p_{\theta} = p_{\theta}/mr^2$  and recall (12) above to see that J is a constant. When the potential has a dipole term  $A\cos\theta/r^2$ , we still have  $\partial H/\partial\phi = 0$  so that  $p_{\phi}$  is constant. We now get, however,

$$\dot{p_{\theta}} = \frac{p_{\phi}^2 \cos \theta}{\sin^3 \theta} + \frac{A \sin \theta}{r^2} \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}J^2}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{2p_{\theta} A \sin \theta}{r^2} \ . \tag{15}$$

From the definition of  $p_{\theta}$  we see that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}J^2}{\mathrm{d}t} = 2mA\sin\theta \ \dot{\theta} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(2mA\cos\theta\right) \ , \tag{16}$$

so that  $J^2 + 2mA\cos\theta$  is a new conserved quantity.

Q3. The form

$$S = \int dt \ L = \int dt \ \left( -\frac{m_0 c^2}{\gamma} - U(r) \right) \tag{17}$$

is the correct expression for the relativistic action because  $dt = \gamma d\tau$ , where  $\tau$  is the invariant proper time. This form of the Lagrangian allows us to express the proper times of all the particles of the system in terms of the laboratory time t.

In polar coordinates we have

$$L = -m_0 c^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{r}^2}{c^2} - \frac{r^2 \dot{\theta}^2}{c^2} \right)^{1/2} - U(r) . \tag{18}$$

The Lagrangian does not depend on  $\theta$  so the (angular) momentum conjugate to  $\theta$  is constant. This evaluates to  $\gamma m_0 r^2 \dot{\theta} = J$ . The Lagrangian does not depend on time explicitly, so the Hamiltonian is also a constant, equal to the total energy  $m_0 c^2 \gamma + U(r) = E$ .

We need an expression for something like  $dr/d\theta$  which we can get from  $\dot{r}/\dot{\theta}$ . To manipulate these conservation laws, write them in the form

$$r^2\dot{\theta}^2 = \frac{J^2}{m_0^2 r^2 \gamma^2} \; ; \quad \gamma^2 = \frac{(E - U(r))^2}{m_0^2 c^4}$$
 (19)

Then use the definition of  $\gamma$ :

$$1 - \frac{\dot{r}^2}{c^2} - \frac{r^2\dot{\theta}^2}{c^2} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \Rightarrow \frac{\dot{r}^2}{c^2} + \frac{r^2\dot{\theta}^2}{c^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \ . \tag{20}$$

Now divide through by  $\dot{\theta}^2 r^4/c^2 = J^2/(m_0^2 c^2 \gamma^2)$  to generate the required term  $(\mathrm{d}r/\mathrm{d}\theta)^2$  on the LHS:

$$\frac{1}{r^4} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} = \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{m_0^2 c^2 (\gamma^2 - 1)}{J^2} \ . \tag{21}$$

Finally substitute for  $\gamma^2$  to get the required form

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{(E - U(r))^2 - m_0^2 c^4}{J^2 c^2} \ . \tag{22}$$

Setting  $u \equiv 1/r$  and U = -Ku we see that the equation is of the form

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\right)^2 + u^2 = \frac{K^2 u^2}{J^2 c^2} + \frac{2EKu + E^2 - m_0^2 c^4}{J^2 c^2} \ . \tag{23}$$

This can be easily be manipulated into the form given in the question by completing the square. Looking at the quadratic term in u, we see that  $\alpha^2 = 1 - K^2/J^2c^2$ .

The orbit is a precessing ellipse provided that  $J^2c^2 > K^2$ . Orbits that have angular momentum lower than this will certainly encounter the origin...

## Q4. The inverse transform is

$$\rho(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^3 \vec{k} \ \tilde{\rho}(\vec{k}) \exp(-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r})$$
 (24)

The relation between the Fourier transforms is

$$|\vec{k}|^2 \tilde{\varphi} = \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\epsilon_0} \tag{25}$$

so we can (in the absence of noise) find the potential via the relation

$$\varphi(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 \epsilon_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^3 \vec{k} \, \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\vec{k})}{|\vec{k}|^2} \exp(-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r})$$
 (26)

For the case  $\rho(\vec{r}) = A\cos(Qx)$  for the layer  $-t \le z \le t$ , we have the Fourier transform

$$\tilde{\rho}(x,y,z) = \int_{-t}^{t} dz \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \ A\cos(Qx) \exp(i(k_x x + k_y y + k_z z))$$
 (27)

Writing  $\cos(Qx) = \frac{1}{2}(\exp(iQx) + \exp(-iQx))$ , using  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp(-ikx) = 2\pi\delta(k)$  for the x and y integrals and doing the z integral explicitly, we find

$$\tilde{\rho}(\vec{k}) = (2\pi)^2 A \delta(k_y) \left( \delta(k_x - Q) + \delta(k_x + Q) \right) \frac{\sin(k_z t)}{k_z} . \tag{28}$$

The back-transform is only required for y = z = 0 (the potential is independent of y anyway, but the variation in z is quite interesting...), so, after the trivial  $k_y$  integral, we have

$$\varphi(x,0,0) = \frac{A}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_x \left(\delta(k_x - Q) + \delta(k_x + Q)\right) \frac{\sin(k_z t)}{k_z} \frac{\exp(-i(k_x x))}{k_x^2 + k_z^2} . \tag{29}$$

Doing the  $k_x$  integral leaves

$$\varphi(x,0,0) = \frac{A\cos(Qx)}{\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \, \frac{\sin(k_z t)}{k_z (k_z^2 + Q^2)} = \frac{A\cos(Qx)}{\pi\epsilon_0} t^2 I(Qt) , \qquad (30)$$

using the definition of I(a) given.

To do the integral, you can either write  $\sin k = (\exp(ik) - \exp(-ik))/2i$  and close over the top for the first term and underneath for the second one, or express it as  $\Im(\exp(ik))$  and just use the pole at k = ia, which has residue  $\exp(-a)/2a^2$ . There is an slight subtlety with the pole at the origin, which has residue  $1/a^2$ , but only contributes  $\pi i \times$  residue because it is exactly on the path of integration. Because there was so much pole-wiggling in the course we'll be lenient...

The final answer is  $\epsilon_0 \varphi(x, 0, 0) = A \cos(Qx) \left(1 - \exp(-Qt)\right) / Q^2$ .

Q5. The propagator G(x, x'; t) is used to express the wavefunction  $\Psi(x, t)$  as an integral over the initial one  $\Psi(x, 0)$ :

$$\Psi(x,t) = \int \mathrm{d}x' \ G(x,x';t)\Psi(x',t) \ . \tag{31}$$

The propagator satisfies the equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}G - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}G = \delta(x - x')\delta(t) , \qquad (32)$$

so that the correct time evolution of  $\Psi(x,t)$  is guaranteed.

If we have a complete set of eigenvectors  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\phi_n = E_n\phi_n$  and write

$$\Psi(x,t) = \sum_{n} c_n \phi_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}$$
(33)

then the integral becomes

$$\Psi(x,t) = \int dx' \sum_{n} \phi_{n}(x) \phi_{n}^{*}(x') e^{-iE_{n}t/\hbar} \sum_{m} c_{m} \phi_{m}(x') = \sum_{m} c_{m} \phi_{m}(x) e^{-iE_{n}t/\hbar}$$
(34)

The integrals  $\int dx' \phi_n^* \phi_m(x) = \delta_{mn}$ , so the form of the propagator is verified.

Start from the Schrödinger equation for the propagator G(x,x'); t) and make the analogy with a diffusion process with an imaginary "effective diffusion constant"  $D = i\hbar/m$ . Discuss how, for an infinitesimal time interval, one can represent the solution as the product of two independent processes: one of the free "diffusion" and the other due to the modified potential. (Taking the case of a free quantum particle, with no potential, would be sufficient for this discussion.)

Divide the time-axis into small discrete intervals. Use the property of convolution,  $G(a,b) = \int dc \ G(a,c)G(c,b)$ , and show how the propagator G(a,b) can be represented as a sequence of integrals over  $dx_n$  (at each time  $t_n$ ) of a product of diffusion-like propagators over each infinitesimal time step.

By formally going to an infinitely-fine discretisation, define a notation for the path integral and its measure  $\mathcal{D}[x]$ . Identify the continuous squared time-derivative in the exponent and arrive at the expression:  $G(a,b) = \int \mathcal{D}[x] \exp(-\int dt \ mv^2/2D) = \int \mathcal{D}[x] \exp(+(i/\hbar) \int dt \ L)$ .

Q6. Setting  $\partial P/\partial t = 0$  gives

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial q} + \alpha q P = \text{constant} \tag{35}$$

The constant has to be zero, because the probability and its derivative must vanish at  $q = \infty$ . The integral is then

$$\frac{1}{P}\frac{\partial P}{\partial q} = -\alpha q \Rightarrow P \propto \exp(-\alpha q^2/2) , \qquad (36)$$

which is a zero-mean Gaussian with variance  $1/\alpha$ .

It's slightly easier to take the logarithm of P for the term on the LHS, so that

$$\frac{1}{P}\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\log\Delta - \frac{(q-Q)^2}{2\Delta}\right) = \frac{\dot{\Delta}}{2\Delta}\left(-1 + \frac{(q-Q)^2}{\Delta}\right) + \dot{Q}\frac{(q-Q)}{\Delta} \tag{37}$$

as required.

The other terms follow similarly:

$$\frac{1}{P}\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial q^2} = \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{(q-Q)^2}{\Delta^2} \; ; \quad \frac{1}{P}\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left(\alpha q P\right) = \alpha \left(1 - \frac{q(q-Q)}{\Delta}\right) \; . \tag{38}$$

Collecting up the terms we get

$$\frac{\dot{\Delta}}{2\Delta} \left( -1 + \frac{(q-Q)^2}{\Delta} \right) + \dot{Q} \frac{(q-Q)}{\Delta} = D \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{(q-Q)^2}{\Delta^2} + \alpha - \alpha \frac{q(q-Q)}{\Delta} \right) . \tag{39}$$

Both sides have to be equal, so the coefficients of all powers of q have to be the same. The term in  $q^2$  gives

$$\frac{\dot{\Delta}}{2\Delta^2} = \frac{D}{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} - \alpha \right) , \tag{40}$$

which is (almost) one of the required equations for  $\dot{\Delta}$ . To get the rest easily, it's best to express the final term in (39) as  $\alpha((q-Q)^2+Q(q-Q))$  and collect powers of (q-Q). The term linear in (q-Q) is then seen to imply  $\dot{Q}=-D\alpha Q$ . Checking the other powers of (q-Q), we confirm the previous form for  $\dot{\Delta}$  and all terms cancel correctly.

The solution is  $Q(t) = Q_0 \exp(-D\alpha t)$  and  $\Delta(t) = (1 - \exp(-2\Delta\alpha t))/\alpha$ , which is a really lovely example of how the Fokker-Planck equation can be used in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics.